UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	
1 FN2-	
Plaintiff(s)	
V	CIVIL ACTION
,	NO. <u>04-10563</u> PBS
Defendant(s)	
	RE: REFERENCE FOR
ALTERNATIV	VE DISPUTE RESOLUTION
TO JUDO	GE_SARIS
he above entitled case was reported	settled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior to ADR.
n 10/17/05 Ihel	d the following ADR proceeding:
SCREENING CONE	ERENCE EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION
<u> </u>	SUMMARY BENCH / JURY TRIAL
<del></del>	SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
	OETTEEMENT GONT ENERGE
Il parties were represented by couns	sel [except]
he parties were / were not present	in person or by authorized corporate officer [except
Enterto Tech	
he case was:	
ettled. Your clerk should enter a 🧾	day order of dismissal.
here was progress. A further confer	rence has been scheduled for unless
e case is reported settled prior to the	at date.
urther efforts to settle this case at th	is time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This
ase should be restored to your trial li	st.
uggested strategy to facilitate settler	ment:
100	El 17 Ammeni
ATE .	ADR Provider
t.wpd - 4/12/2000)	[adrrpt.]
	Plaintiff(s)  V.  Defendant(s)  REPORT ALTERNATION TO JUDG  The above entitled case was reported and the parties were represented by couns the parties were / were not present the case was:  ettled. Your clerk should enter a